$\langle Quantum | Gravity \rangle$ Society

# Primodial Gravitation Waves and the CMB

Suzanne Staggs

# SCIENTIFIC AMERICAN





SIXTY CENTS hune 1967



COSMIC MICROWAVE BACKGROUND SPECTRUM FROM COBE

013: Courtesy Planck Science Team

PRIMORDIAL GRAVITATIONAL WAVES AND THE CMB

> SUZANNE STAGGS 17 AUG 2022

QUANTUM GRAVITY CONFERENCE

© 1967 SCIENTIFIC AMERICAN, INC

## VISUALIZING THE COSMIC MICROWAVE BACKGROUND

Images compliments of the ESA/Planck science team

The CMB over the full sky – red and blue differ by ~ 100 mK

# VISUALIZING THE COSMIC MICROWAVE BACKGROUND Arguably rather forgettable in this depiciton Images compliments of the ESA/Planck science team

The CMB over the full sky – red and blue differ by ~ 100 mK



#### A 2d object in space: x & y

Its 1d power spectrum





## THE ALL-MIGHTY CMB POWER SPECTRA





The CMB appears forgettable in maps but remarkable in harmonic space

TT: intensity fluctuations EE, BB: polarization fluctuations

Compilation from Choi et al, 2020 DOI: 10.1088/1475-7516/2020/12/045) but already there are more data, and more coming!

## ORIGIN OF COHERENT ACOUSTIC OSCILLATIONS WITHIN INFLATION FRAMEWORK

- 1. INFLATION ENGENDERS DARK MATTER DENSITY FLUCTUATIONS ON SUPERHORIZON SCALES.
- 2. TIME REVEALS THESE PREVIOUSLY SUPERHORIZON DIMPLES IN THE METRIC ... AT TIME T\*, A SUPERHORIZON FOURIER MODE OF K\* IS REVEALED.
- 3. SIMPLE HARMONIC MOTION (SHM) OF THE PHOTON-BARYON FLUID ASSOCIATED WITH MODE K\* ENSUES. THE PLASMA IS SUCKED INTO THE METRIC DIMPLES BY GRAVITY AND FORCED OUT BY RADIATION PRESSURE.
- 4. COOLING OF THE EXPANDING UNIVERSE CONGEALS THE PLASMA, RELEASING THE CMB RADIATION, BEARING PATTERNS OF THE SHM OSCILLATIONS.



### TRANSFER FUNCTION ITSELF COMMUNICATES INITIAL CONDITIONS



Structure in the form of transfer function assumed to act on:

$$P(k) = A_S \left(\frac{k}{k_0}\right)^{\tilde{n}_s -},$$

k = 3d wave-vector in the then universe



FIT WITH SIX PARAMETERS!

2 params

## CMB POLARIZATION SCHEMATICALLY



#### STOKES PARAMETERS



E-modes are symmetric wrt rotations around the wavevector...



B-modes are anti-symmetric wrt rotations around the wavevector...

\\.////\\\\////\\

## THE ALL-MIGHTY CMB POWER SPECTRA





The CMB appears forgettable in maps but remarkable in harmonic space

TT: intensity fluctuations EE, BB: polarization fluctuations

Compilation from Choi et al, 2020 DOI: 10.1088/1475-7516/2020/12/045) but already there are more data, and more coming!

#### STOKES PARAMETERS



E-modes are symmetric wrt rotations around the wavevector...



B-modes are anti-symmetric wrt rotations around the wavevector...

#### STOKES PARAMETERS



E-modes are symmetric wrt rotations around the wavevector...



B-modes are anti-symmetric wrt rotations around the wavevector...

#### STOKES PARAMETERS



E-modes are symmetric wrt rotations around the wavevector...

# 

Matter curves space; light traces the curvature.

Observed

Real





Matter curves space; light traces the curvature.

Observed

Real







Rearrangement

Matter curves space; light traces the curvature.

Observed

Real

Intervening mass

Matter curves space; light traces the curvature.

Observed

Rea

Intervening mass Rearrangement

Rearrangement does not respect the original polarization symmetries!

21

## CMB POWER SPECTRA

#### ACOUSTIC OSCILLATIONS **ARE** SCALAR (DENSITY) FLUCTUATIONS

![](_page_22_Figure_2.jpeg)

Lensing B modes are generated from the primordial acoustic E modes with a power spectrum of predictable shape.

### GRAVITATIONAL WAVES AND B-MODES

![](_page_23_Picture_1.jpeg)

Gravitational waves distort space-time as they travel through it.

Inflation releases gravitational waves which travel through space essentially unhindered for the rest of time.

In the presence of gravitational waves, the CMB polarization develops BOTH parity-even Emode AND parity-odd B mode polarization

## PRIMORDIAL GRAVITATIONAL WAVES

#### ACOUSTIC OSCILLATIONS **ARE** SCALAR (DENSITY) FLUCTUATIONS

![](_page_24_Figure_2.jpeg)

Lensing B modes are generated from the primordial acoustic E modes with a power spectrum of predictable shape.

#### PRIMORDIAL GRAVITATIONAL WAVES

ACOUSTIC OSCILLATIONS **ARE** SCALAR (DENSITY) FLUCTUATIONS

GRAVITATIONAL WAVES **ARE** NS TENSOR FLUCTUATIONS IN THE METRIC

![](_page_25_Figure_3.jpeg)

#### PGWs IMPACT TT, TE & EE SOMEWHAT.

.... but BB OPENS UP A NEW FUNDAMENTAL OBSERVABLE!

INFLATIONARY MODELS GENERICALLY PREDICT PGWs

VERIFIICATION OF INFLATION? QUANTUM NATURE OF GRAVITY? GRAND UNIFICATION SCALE?

#### PRIMORDIAL GRAVITATIONAL WAVES

ACOUSTIC OSCILLATIONS **ARE** SCALAR (DENSITY) FLUCTUATIONS

GRAVITATIONAL WAVES **ARE** TENSOR FLUCTUATIONS IN THE METRIC

![](_page_26_Figure_3.jpeg)

PGWs IMPACT TT, TE & EE SOMEWHAT.

.... but BB OPENS UP A NEW FUNDAMENTAL OBSERVABLE!

INFLATIONARY MODELS GENERICALLY PREDICT PGWs

> CURRENT STATUS: r < 0.036 (95% CL), BICEP/Keck, 2021 PRL **127**, 151301

![](_page_27_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Figure_1.jpeg)

Color bar scales for panels In triptych vary!

![](_page_28_Figure_0.jpeg)

#### HOW Q & U ARE RELATED TO E & B

(Geeky aside to explain something that might have been bugging you.)

![](_page_29_Picture_2.jpeg)

#### HOW Q & U ARE RELATED TO E & B

(Geeky aside to explain something that might have been bugging you.)

Convolutions with quadrupoles! Keep in mind that  $E \gg B$ .

![](_page_30_Figure_3.jpeg)

Figures courtesy of Sigurd Naess

![](_page_31_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Figure_0.jpeg)

# POLARIZATION E & B IRL

#### ACT maps 772 deg<sup>2</sup> (T map includes Planck) Naess et al, 2020; JCAP 12, 046

![](_page_34_Figure_0.jpeg)

POLARIZATION Q & U IRL

#### ACT maps 772 deg<sup>2</sup> (T map includes Planck) Naess et al, 2020; JCAP 12, 046

#### ANTICIPATED LIMITATIONS TO OVERCOME TO PURSUE PRIMORDIAL GRAVITATIONAL WAVE DETECTION

Signals are small

Instrumental systematics are not (e.g. due to beams, I-->P & other polarization effects) Celestial foregrounds are not (direct contamination, masking impacts, onus on understanding bandpasses) Local environmental contaminations are not (e.g atmosphere, ground, Starlink) Numbers of detectors and receivers needed are not (use dilution fridges, high multiplexing factors, automated assembly) Data sets are not simulations, rerunning analyses, keeping track of metadata & products are tough)

# CHALLENGES IN PURSUING PGWs (A SUBSET)

Atmosphere Production Capacity Access Problems Not Yet Dreamt Of

It gets in the way.

![](_page_37_Figure_2.jpeg)

Suen, Fang & Lubin, 2014;, doi: 10.1109/TTHZ.2013.2294018 (caveat regarding 0 mm model)

Yuen, Fang & Lubin, 2014;, doi: 10.1109/TTHZ.2013.2294018.

It gets in the way.

## Go dry or go home! Except for f < 20 GHz

![](_page_38_Picture_4.jpeg)

Atacama Desert

Antarctica

Tibetan Plateau

Greenland

38

0 2.5 5 7.5 10 12.5 15 17.5 20 22.5 25 Median Clear Sky Precipitable Water Vapor (mm)

Also see work by Denis Barkats +

![](_page_39_Figure_1.jpeg)

It gets in the way. And it adds spurious signal. Extra signal = extra loading. The signal varies with elevation, The signal is not constant. PWV varies with time. PWV varies with position.

Atmospheric emission at worldclass site (Atacama).

Figure adapted from Morris et al, 2022, PRD 105, 042004; using the am software (S. Paine, 10.5281/ zenodo.5794521)

39

![](_page_40_Figure_1.jpeg)

And it adds spurious signal.

Extra signal = extra loading.

The signal varies with elevation,

The signal is not constant.

PWV varies with time.

PWV varies with position.

Data taken at 150 GHz with ACT scanning.

Morris et al, 2022, PRD 105, 042004;

It gets in the way.

## PWV VARIATIONS LEAD TO EXTRA LOW-ELL NOISE

![](_page_41_Figure_1.jpeg)

# Polarization (BB)

#### Focus on solid dark blue 150 GHz curve

Simons Observatory (SO) includes telescopes (SATs) designed to look for PGWs.

This SO SAT noise model is based on multiple small aperture instruments. But; needs confirmation!

The beam is apparent at high  $\ell$  and the atmosphere at low  $\ell$ .

From SO Collaboration, 'The Simons Observatory: Science Goals and Forecasts,' 2019 JCAP02(2019) 056.

41

# CHALLENGES IN PURSUING PGWs (A SUBSET)

Atmosphere Production Capacity Access Problems Not Yet Dreamt Of

## CHALLENGE OF ATMOSPHERE → MORE DETECTORS

SO, BICEP Array, CMB-S4, Ali-CPT & others: detector modules based on 150 mm detector wafers

Then, 100,000 detectors ~ 50-100 modules.

#### PAROCHIAL EXAMPLE of SO:

PLAN: deploy 49 modules in the next 2 yrs.

![](_page_43_Figure_5.jpeg)

McCarrick, Healy et al, 2021, for JLTP, arXiv:2112.01458

#### Each module has:

4 optical coupling waters, aligned & glued 1 multi-layer interface ("routing") wafer 28 multiplexing chips

feedhorn array
high-precision mounting tray

1 lid
1 magnetic shield
2 PCBs, one with imbedded flex & MDM
4 coax connections
Pogo pins, tripod springs, washers, screws

Each module requires: Precision gluing of parts (CTE alignments) ~ 12,000 aluminum wire bonds ~ 100 gold wire bods Pre-assembly screening of most parts Two stages of testing at 100 mK 43

## CHALLENGE OF ATMOSPHERE → MORE DETECTORS

![](_page_44_Picture_1.jpeg)

<u>Aphorism</u>: Detectors define the critical path for CMB experiments.

<u>Hypothesis</u>: Detector R&D defines the critical path for CMB experiments <u>Aim</u>: Get past the R&D and move into production mode

<u>Context</u> for Production rates including R&D: ACT: 10 O(1000) detector modules produced over 15 yrs [0.7/ yr] SO: 39 O(2000) detector modules (+ 10 O(200) modules) over 5 yrs [8/ yr]

<u>Punchline</u>: Hypothesis looks good so far! CMB-S4 will be the next test.

## MORE DETECTORS → HUGE RECEIVERS + HIGH THROUGHPUT PRODUCTION OF OPTICS

SO LAT Receiver 2.4 m dia

> Xu et al, 2020; SPIE 11453, doi:10.1117/12.2576151.

SO LAT holds 13 optics tubes (36-cm)

Each has: 3 AR-coated silicon lenses, 1 AR-coated window, 1 ARcoated alumina filter, and free-space filters

Each SO SAT (42 cm stop) has the same elements + an extra ARcoated alumina filter and an AR-coated sapphire HWP

#### BICEP ARRAY & CMB-S4 also need many AR-coated optical elements!

![](_page_45_Figure_7.jpeg)

It could be worse if not for DRs!

45

## DETECTOR SENSITIVITIES: 100 mK & "BACKGROUND LIMITED"

![](_page_46_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_46_Picture_2.jpeg)

MODERN PULSE-TUBE BACKED DILUTION FRIDGES ARE EASIER TO USE THAN 3He SYSTEMS (more cooling power – 100-300 uW typical at 100 mK)

We've been running one at 17kft in Chile since 2012.

# CHALLENGES IN PURSUING PGWs (A SUBSET)

Atmosphere Production Capacity Access Problems Not Yet Dreamt Of

## CHALLENGE OF ATMOSPHERE → SPECIFIC SITES

![](_page_48_Picture_1.jpeg)

Great for observations but remote and with complicated stakeholder situations

#### Remote $\rightarrow$ off the grid

- Diesel is not cheap nor particularly green
- Expanding power supply requires civil construction not just \$\$ to the electric company
- Access for personnel
  - South Pole (only a) small on-site team has good yearround access to instrument
  - Chile easy year-round access for entire team, but the instrument site itself is not always accessible
    Distributed workforce
  - Safety, communications, hiring, shipping

Challenge: a difficult or demanding task, esp. one seen as a test of one's abilities or character

JUST DO IT.

Fall down seven times, get up eight.

48

# CHALLENGES IN PURSUING PGWs (A SUBSET)

Atmosphere Production Capacity Access Problems Not Yet Dreamt Of

Fall down seven times, get up eight.

![](_page_50_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### (Quantum|Gravity)Society